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|  |  |
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| Is the subject matter undertaken cognitively significant? |  |
| Is the title comprehensible and adequate to the content? |  |
| Does the abstract provide relevant information on the study’s aim  |  |
| and contains a comprehensive summary? |  |
| Does the introduction adequately state the problem and objective?  |  |
| Does the study have a logical chapter structure |  |
| and does it contain no unnecessary repetition of content? |  |
| Does the summary refer to the aim of the study |  |
| and adopted assumptions? |  |
| Does the paper characterise the current state of the art in the field? |  |
| Were new hypotheses formulated? |  |
| Were future research guidelines identified? |  |
| Do the tables and figures contain critical information,  |  |
| are they adequately described (units, definitions, abbreviations) |  |
| And are they self-explanatory? |  |
| Does the paper correctly cite data references  |  |
| and there is no suspicion of plagiarism?  |  |
| Has the work included an appropriate selection of literature?  |  |
| Is the work written in correct language? |  |
| Is the paper eligible as **a scientific review paper**? |  |

Notes:

* Any negative assessment of individual review points needs to be justified.
* Papers undergo editorial editing prior to publication, so please disregard technical faults at this stage of the assessment, e.g.: double spaces, lack of paragraph indentation, inappropriate literature citation/setting, and missing lines in tables.
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